Notice of Allowability	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/911,414	CAMBRIDGE, RODNEY D.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Fred Ferris	2128
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RI of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313	(OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this app or other appropriate communication GHTS. This application is subject to	olication. If not included will be mailed in due course. THIS
1. This communication is responsive to <u>13 February 2003</u> .		
2. X The allowed claim(s) is/are 1-3, 5-6, 8-17, 20-22, 24-32, and	nd 34-39 _. .	
 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority una a)	been received. been received in Application No	
Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" on noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONM THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.		complying with the requirements
4. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be submit INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which give		
5. CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") must be submitted.		
(a) 🔲 including changes required by the Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) attached		
1) 🗌 hereto or 2) 🔲 to Paper No./Mail Date		
(b) including changes required by the attached Examiner's Paper No./Mail Date	s Amendment / Comment or in the O	ffice action of
Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1. each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the	84(c)) should be written on the drawing he header according to 37 CFR 1.121(c	igs in the front (not the back) of i).
 DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the deposit of BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL must be submitted. Note the attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT FOR THE DEPOSIT OF BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL. 		
		·
Attachment(s)		
1. Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	_	atent Application (PTO-152)
2. Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	6. ⊠ Interview Summary ∈ Paper No./Mail Date	
 Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0/ Paper No./Mail Date 		
Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material	8. Examiner's Stateme	nt of Reasons for Allowance
	9.	
	7.	1 2
	i) a	1 5 VALLE 1857

DETAILED ACTION

1. This Office Action is responsive to applicant's amendment filed on 13 February 2006. Applicants have now cancelled claims 4, 7, 18, 19, 23, and 33. Claims 1-3, 5-6, 8-17, 20-22, 24-32, and 34-39 remain pending in this application and have now been allowed over the prior art of record.

EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT

2. An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.

Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given in a telephone interview with Kevin Zilka on 17 March 2006.

Amend claim 28 as follows:

<u>Delete</u> the last nine words in the last line of claim 28 and <u>insert</u> the word "<u>and</u>" before the words "hard drive".

Claim 28 should now read as follows:

28. The computer product of claim 27 wherein the computer readable medium is selected from the group consisting of CD-ROM, floppy disk, tape, flash memory, system memory, and hard drive.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 13 February 2006, with respect to amended claims 1-3, 5-6, 8-17, 20-22, 24-32, and 34-39 have been fully considered and are persuasive.

Accordingly, the 103(a) rejection has been withdrawn in view of applicant's amendment to the claims and arguments submitted 13 February 2006.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 1-3, 5-6, 8-17, 20-22, 24-32, and 34-39 are allowed over the prior art of record.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

Applicants are disclosing a method and system for maintaining and updating antivirus files within a computer network consisting of user computers, central service computer, and antivirus server by comparing the databases between central (host) computer and user (client) computer to determine if databases require updating (i.e. new files). This has been disclosed in the prior art of record.

While these elements are all individually disclosed in the prior art, the prior art of record does not meet the conditions as suggested in MPEP section 2132, namely: "The identical invention must be shown in as complete detail as is contained in the ... claim." Richardson v. Suzuki Motor Co., 868 F.2d 1226, 1236, 9 USPQ2d 1913, 1920 (Fed. Cir. 1989). The elements must be arranged as required by the claim, but this is not an **ipsissimis verbis** test, i.e., identity of terminology is not required. **In re Bond**, 910 F.2d 831, 15 USPQ2d 1566 (Fed. Cir. 1990)."

Application/Control Number: 09/911,414 Page 4

Art Unit: 2128

Specifically, the prior art does not disclose the specific sequence of method steps and combination of system elements inclusive of:

receiving new antivirus files at user and central computer updating database

- comparing antivirus databases of central/user computer if new antivirus
 file received at central computer from server or at the user computer from
 the antivirus server in determining if one of databases contains new file
 not included in other database
- notifying central computer of new antivirus file on user, inquiring to update database with new file
- where the configuration of central and user computer send new antivirus
 file to other in updating such that central computer database is updatable
 form user and visa vera.
- Where central computer when central computer notified by user, user
 waits for request to send new antivirus file
- Where the central computer is configured to send new antivirus file to user to update database if determined that central computer contains new antivirus no in user
- Where user computer is configured to send new antivirus file to central computer to update database of central computer if use contains new file not in database and user is authorized to send new antivirus file for updating

- And where central computer configured to periodically updated filed from antivirus server

In the instant case, while each of the recited limitations are individually disclosed in the prior art, the prior art of record does not explicitly disclose the specific sequence of method steps, and combination of system elements, as now required by amended independent claims 1, 20, and 27. (See specification: page 16, line 11 to page 19, line 17, and page 20, line 11 to page 21, line 8, for example)

The closest prior art uncovered during examination teaches certain limitations of the claimed invention as follows:

U.S. Patent 6,269,456 issued to Hodges: teaches a method, system, and computer code, for maintaining and updating antivirus files within a computer network consisting of user computers, central service computer, and antivirus server. Hodges includes features relating to notifying server of user update requirements and new virus definitions, antivirus signature files, automatic (i.e. periodic) file updating, and version number but does not explicitly disclose the specific sequence of method steps, and combination of system elements noted above, as now required by amended independent claims 1, 20, and 27.

U.S. Patent 6,327,594 issued to Van Huben: teaches comparing the databases between central (host) computer and user (client) computer to determine if databases require updating (i.e. new files) including comparing databases between central (host) computer and user computer to determine if databases require updating of new files. However, Van Huben again does not explicitly disclose the specific sequence of method

steps, and combination of system elements noted above, as now required by amended independent claims 1, 20, and 27.

McAfee VirusScan 4.5: discloses features which allow for the detection of new viruses at the local computer level, and subsequent notification central administrator using the programmable Orchestrator feature for configuring automatic antivirus file updates, scans, and DAT file updates using the Central Policy Reporting and Advanced Enterprise Reporting features but again does not explicitly disclose the specific sequence of method steps, and combination of system elements noted above, as now required by amended independent claims 1, 20, and 27.

The features noted above therefore render the claimed invention non-obvious over the prior art of record. Dependent claims 2,3,5,6,8-17, 21,22, 24-26, and 28-32, 34-39 are deemed allowable as depending from independent claims 1, 20, and 27.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fred Ferris whose telephone number is 571-272-3778 and whose normal working hours are 8:30am to 5:00pm Monday to Friday. Any inquiry of a general nature relating to the status of this application should be directed to the

group receptionist whose telephone number is 571-272-3700. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kamini Shah can be reached at 571-272-2279. The Official Fax Number is: (703) 872-9306

Fred Ferris, Primary Examiner
Simulation and Emulation, Art Unit 2128
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
Randolph Building, Room 5D19
401 Dulany Street
Alexandria, VA 22313

Phone: (571-272-3778) Fred.Ferris@uspto.gov Fred Ferris

Primary Examiner